

## Comment on “Gamma-ray spectra from low-energy positron annihilation processes in molecules”

Green, D. G., & Gribakin, G. F. (2017). Comment on “Gamma-ray spectra from low-energy positron annihilation processes in molecules”. *Physical Review A (Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics)*, 95, [036701 ].  
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.95.036701>

**Published in:**  
Physical Review A (Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics)

**Document Version:**  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:**  
[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

**Publisher rights**  
©2017 American Physical Society.  
This work is made available online in accordance with the publisher's policies. Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

**General rights**  
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**  
The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact [openaccess@qub.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@qub.ac.uk).

## Comment on “Gamma-ray spectra from low-energy positron annihilation processes in molecules”

D. G. Green<sup>\*</sup> and G. F. Gribakin<sup>†</sup>*School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen’s University Belfast, BT7 1NN Northern Ireland, United Kingdom*

(Received 2 February 2017; published 13 March 2017)

In the article by Ma *et al.* [*Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 052709 (2016)],  $\gamma$ -ray spectra for positron annihilation on molecules were calculated in the independent-particle approximation with the positron wave function set to unity. Based on comparisons with experimental data, they concluded that inner valence electrons play a dominant role in positron annihilation. These conclusions are incorrect and resulted from fallacious analysis that ignored the known effect of the positron wave function on the spectra.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevA.95.036701](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.95.036701)

In a recent article [1], Ma *et al.* reported results of independent-particle-model calculations of  $\gamma$ -ray spectra for low-energy positron annihilation on molecules assuming a plane-wave positron wave function that they set to unity. They compared the annihilation spectra thus obtained with experimental data and concluded that “positrons annihilate predominantly with inner valence electrons, especially the lowest occupied valence orbital electrons rather than the outer valence electrons.”

However, it is known [2,3] that the plane-wave approximation adopted by Ma *et al.* [1] artificially broadens the  $\gamma$ -ray spectra. This approximation totally ignores the strong positron repulsion from the atomic nuclei. Consequently, it overestimates the contributions of short distances where electrons move fast, which result in large Doppler shifts of the annihilation  $\gamma$  rays. Inclusion of nuclear repulsion in the positron wave function is crucial for obtaining accurate spectra for positron annihilation on molecules. Ma *et al.* [1] make no reference to Refs. [2,3] and ignore the conclusions therein. As a result, their analysis of the different electron orbital contributions to the  $\gamma$ -ray spectra is fallacious, and their conclusions are incorrect.

In Sec. III A of their paper, Ma *et al.* [1] also applied their method to calculate the annihilation spectra of noble-gas atoms and claimed that “the inner valence electrons would dominate the annihilation process.” This is in sharp contradiction with recent high-quality many-body theory calculations [4] that fully accounted for the positron interaction with the atom, including the nuclear repulsion and very important electron-positron correlation effects. These calculations (see also Ref. [5])

provided an accurate and essentially complete picture of positron interaction with noble-gas atoms and showed excellent agreement between the theoretical results and the measured spectra for Ar, Kr, and Xe [6]. In particular, the relative contributions of various atomic orbitals to the spectra are now firmly established, leaving no room for speculation.

Finally, the paper by Ma *et al.* [1] propagates the notion of “positrophilic sites” or “positrophilic electrons.” This idea of preferential positron annihilation with specific molecular electrons again is based on the fallacious analysis of the spectra obtained using the unit positron wave function. It contradicts the accumulated understanding of positron annihilation in atoms and molecules from both experimental and theoretical studies (see, e.g., Refs. [2–8]). To quote some of the earlier papers, measurements of the  $\gamma$ -ray spectra for fluorocarbons “suggests that positrons annihilate with equal probability on any valence electron” [8], whereas calculations for hydrocarbons suggest that “most valence molecular orbitals have comparable annihilation probabilities” [7].

The calculation of accurate  $\gamma$  spectra for positron annihilation on molecules is an important problem that warrants attention. Plane-wave approximation calculations do not provide accurate annihilation rates nor spectra. Realistic calculations require proper account of the positron wave function. Ma *et al.* [1] acknowledge this in the self-contradictory closing paragraph of their paper.

D.G.G. was supported by the United Kingdom Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Grant No. EP/N007948/1.

<sup>\*</sup>d.green@qub.ac.uk<sup>†</sup>g.gribakin@qub.ac.uk

- 
- [1] X. Ma, M. Wang, Y. Zhu, Y. Liu, C. Yang, and D. Wang, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 052709 (2016).
  - [2] D. G. Green, S. Saha, F. Wang, G. F. Gribakin, and C. M. Surko, *New J. Phys.* **14**, 035021 (2012).
  - [3] D. G. Green, S. Saha, F. Wang, G. F. Gribakin, and C. M. Surko, *Mater. Sci. Forum* **666**, 21 (2010).
  - [4] D. G. Green and G. F. Gribakin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 093201 (2015).
  - [5] D. G. Green, J. A. Ludlow, and G. F. Gribakin, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 032712 (2014).
  - [6] K. Iwata, G. F. Gribakin, R. G. Greaves, and C. M. Surko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 39 (1997).
  - [7] O. H. Crawford, *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, R3147 (1994).
  - [8] K. Iwata, R. G. Greaves, and C. M. Surko, *Phys. Rev. A* **55**, 3586 (1997).